UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/595,660	06/16/2000	Eric Teller	1148/015	2830
23861 METZ LEWIS,	7590 07/31/200 LLC	EXAMINER		
11 STANWIX STREET			PASS, NATALIE	
18TH FLOOR PITTSBURGH, PA 15222			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3686	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/31/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

1	UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
2	
3	
4	BEFORE THE BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS
5	AND INTERFERENCES
6	
7	
8	Ex parte ERIC TELLER, JOHN M. STIVORIC,
9	CHRISTOPHER D. KASABACH, CHRISTOPHER D. PACIONE,
10	JOHN L. MOSS, CRAIG B. LIDEN, and MARGARET A. McCORMACK
11	<del></del>
12	4.2000.0004
13	Appeal 2009-000479
14	Application 09/595,660
15	Technology Center 3600
16	<del></del>
17	D:4-4-1 1-121 2000
18	Decided: July 31, 2009
19 20	<del></del>
20 21	Before MURRIEL E. CRAWFORD, HUBERT C. LORIN, and
22	ANTON W. FETTING, Administrative Patent Judges.
23	ANTON W. I ETTING, Administrative Tatent Juages.
24	CRAWFORD, Administrative Patent Judge.
25	CRITITIOND, Huministrative Lateral Stage.
26	
27 27	DECISION ON APPEAL
	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The two-month time period for filing an appeal or commencing a civil action, as recited in 37 C.F.R. § 1.304, begins to run from the decided date shown on this page of the decision. The time period does not run from the Mail Date (paper delivery) or Notification Date (electronic delivery).

1	STATEMENT OF THE CASE
2	This is an appeal from the final rejection of claims 104-127, 137-152,
3	161-164, 167, 171, 172, and 175-182. We have jurisdiction to review the
4	case under 35 U.S.C. §§ 134 and 6 (2002).
5	The claimed invention is directed to systems and methods for
6	collecting and storing at a remote site data relating to an individual's
7	physiological state, lifestyle, and various contextual parameters, and making
8	such data and analytical information based on such data available to an
9	individual (Specification 1:10-13).
10	Claim 104, reproduced below, is further illustrative of the claimed
11	subject matter.
12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	104. A method for assisting an individual to monitor, control and modify certain aspects of the individual's physiological status according to a preset physiological status goal, said individual wearing a wearable physiological monitoring device, the method comprising:  establishing said physiological status goal according to certain physiological parameters of said individual;  generating data with said wearable device, said generated data indicative of a first parameter of said individual wearing said wearable physiological monitoring device;  generating data indicative of a second parameter of said individual with at least one of said wearable device and a second device;
25	receiving data related to the life activities of said
26 27 28 29 30	individual; calculating, from said first and second parameters, quantitative status information indicative of the relative degree of achievement of said individual's performance with relation to said physiological status goal;
31	generating individual status information relating to the
32	status of said individual from said life activities data; and

## Application 09/595,660

1 communicating to a recipient said calculated quantitative 2 status information regarding said individual and said individual 3 status information, wherein said first and second parameters are produced by 4 5 at least one of said individual's body and the environment 6 adjacent said individual's body. 7 The references of record relied upon by the Examiner as evidence of 8 obviousness are: 9 Brown US 5,913,310 Jun. 22, 1999 US 6,790,178 B1 Sep. 14, 2004 10 Mault Claims 104-121, 124-127, 137-152, 161-164, 167, 171, 172, and 175-11 12 182 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e) as anticipated by Mault; and 13 claims 122 and 123 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as unpatentable 14 over Mault in view of Brown. 15 16 **OPINION** 17 We have carefully reviewed the rejections on appeal in light of the arguments of the Appellants and the Examiner. As a result of this review, 18 19 we have reached the conclusion that the applied prior art does not render 20 unpatentable the claimed subject matter. Therefore the rejections on appeal 21 are reversed. Our reasons follow. 22 The following comprise our finding of facts with respect to the scope 23 and content of the prior art. Mault discloses physiological monitors that 24 connect with and/or communicate with a hand-held computing device such 25 as a personal digital assistant (PDA) (col. 1, 11, 29-32). The PDA stores testing data from one or more physiological monitor modules to allow the 26 27 use of data in health and fitness tracking as well as in a variety of software applications (col. 2, 11. 52-60). Physiological monitors measure one or more 28

1 physiological parameters. The PDA may enable additional functionality or 2 provide processing and display of physiological data (col. 5, 11, 25-34). A 3 remote server may store and analyze data received from the PDA and provide feedback based on the information (col. 7, 11. 18-20). The PDA may 4 5 store exercise information from a physiological module, such as a pedometer, and calculate various exercise parameters such as calories 6 7 burned, distance covered, average speed, etc. (col. 12, ll. 14-17). 8 The disagreement between the Appellants and the Examiner is with 9 respect to whether Mault discloses calculating, from said first and second 10 parameters, quantitative status information, as recited in independent claims 104 and 124 (App. Br. 11-15; Ex. Ans. 19-22; and Reply Br. 2-7). Mault 11 12 discloses measuring and storing multiple physiological parameters from multiple physiological monitors. Mault also discloses using the 13 14 physiological data to process, analyze, and calculate various exercise 15 parameters for use in health and fitness tracking as well as in a variety of 16 software applications. Mault does not disclose however, how the 17 physiological data is specifically used to calculate the various exercise parameters. Such specific information is necessary to show that Mault uses 18 19 two physiological parameters to calculate the exercise parameters, as recited 20 in independent claims 104 and 124. Absent such a showing, the most 21 straightforward interpretation of Mault is that a single physiological 22 parameter is used to calculate the exercise parameter. Accordingly, as this is 23 an anticipation rejection, because Mault does not disclose calculating, from 24 said first and second parameters, quantitative status information, as recited 25 in independent claims 104 and 124, we cannot sustain the rejections of any 26 of the pending claims.

## Appeal 2009-000479 Application 09/595,660

1	CONCLUSION AND ORDER
2	The rejection of claims 104-127, 137-152, 161-164, 167, 171, 172,
3	and 175-182 is reversed.
4	
5	REVERSED
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	hh
13 14 15 16 17 18	METZ LEWIS, LLC 11 STANWIX STREET 18TH FLOOR PITTSBURGH, PA 15222
19	